Perspective	Score	Evaluation Source
<u>Stakeholder</u>		
To what degree does the proposed investment result in significant tangible and intangible benefits for stakeholders?	G = significant tangible and intangible benefits identified and justification provided Y = tangible and intangible benefits identified and no justification provided R = no benefits identified	Proposal – F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis Summary
To what degree does the proposed investment target stakeholders that have be chronically underserved by information technology investments?	G = underserved stakeholders	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope
3. To what degree does the proposed investment increase public protection, heal education, environment, or safety?	G = does increase and justification provided Y = increase identified and no justification provided or does not increase R = decreases	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact - F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis Summary Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope

	Perspective	Score	Evaluation Source
4.	To what degree does the proposed investment anticipate improvements to internal and external customer service delivery (e.g., faster response, greater access to information, elimination or reduction in client complaints)?	G = anticipated improvement identified and justification provided Y = anticipated improvement identified and no justification provided or maintains current internal and external service delivery R = decreases internal and external service delivery	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 3. Core Business Activity Impact - F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis Summary Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scop
5.	To what degree does the proposed investment increase citizen access to government services?	, and the second	IT Strategic Plan – Project links to Related Commonwealth Technology Initiatives & Strategies (Enterprise Business Strategies)
		G = increases access Y = does not increase access R = decreases access	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis

	Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope
Business Process	
	Proposal – B. Project Purpose 1. Business Problem 2.

6. To what degree does the proposed investment result in information sharing between organizational units throughout the proponent agency?

G = results in information sharing Y = does not result in information sharing R = decreases information sharing

Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact
- F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis

- F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis Summary

- F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis

Summary

Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and

	Perspective	Score	Evaluation Source
7.	To what degree does the proposed investment anticipate greater flexibility in agency responses to stakeholder requests, reduction, or elimination of paperwork or manual tasks?	G = anticipates greater flexibility Y = does not anticipate greater flexibility R = decreases flexibility	Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact - F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis Summary Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope
8.	To what degree does the proposed investment anticipate improved use of resources, improved turnaround time, or expanded capacity of key processes?	G = anticipated improvement identified and justification provided Y = anticipated improvement identified and no justification provided or does not anticipate improvement R = degrades use of resources, turnaround time or capacity of key processes	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact - F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis Summary Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope
9.	To what degree does the proposed investment support legal or regulatory requirements?	G = does support NA = not applicable	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope
10.	To what degree does the proposed investment synchronize with mission needs, agency critical issues, and core business activities?	G = highly synchronized (directly supports mission, critical issue, or core business activity) Y = minimally synchronized (indirectly supports mission or core business activity, but not	Proposal – D. Strategic Justification – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact Project Charter – C. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives

Commonwealth of Virginia Interim Balanced Scorecard Decision Criteria for

Proposed Information Technology Investments

Perspective	Score	Evaluation Source
	identified as an agency critical issue) R = not synchronized	
11. To what degree does the proponent agency possess demonstrated readiness and capacity to succeed with information technology investments of this magnitude and scope?	G = does possess demonstrated readiness and capacity Y = readiness and capacity indicated, but not demonstrated R = readiness and capacity not demonstrated or indicated	IT Strategic Plan – ITIM Practices – Project Selection Criteria, Business Case Development, Risk Assessment Methodologies, Prioritization Schema Proposal - Overall Quality of the Project Proposal Commonwealth Major IT Project Status Report Dashboard - Assessment of other agency projects on the Major IT Projects Dashboard Project Charter – F. Project Authority, 1. Authorization, 2. Project Manager, 3. Oversight - G. Project Organization, 1. Project Organization Chart, 2. Organization Description, 3. Roles and Responsibilities - H. Resources - I. Signatures Project Manager Qualification Record
Project Management		
12. To what degree does the proposed investment present a sound business case?	G = does present sound business case Y = presents marginal business case R = does not present sound business case	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact - C. Project Description - D. Strategic Justification - F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis Summary

Perspective	Score	Evaluation Source
13. To what degree does the project proposal or		Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope - H. Resources Proposal – E. Estimated Project Development Schedule
charter adequately establish project scope, cost, and schedule baselines?	G = adequate Y = marginal (needs refinement prior to establishing baselines) R = inadequate	(Major Milestones) F. Financial Estimate, 2. Estimate of Execution Expenditures and Funding, 3. Estimate of Operations Expenditures and Funding Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope
14. To what degree does the proposed investment have executive-level sponsorship, including the appropriate cabinet secretary?	G = has executive sponsorship R = does not have executive sponsorship	Project Charter – F. Project Authority, 1. Authorization - I. Signatures
15. To what degree does the project charter define an effective project management organization?	G = well defined Y = indicated, but not specifically defined R = not defined	Project Charter – F. Project Authorization, 1. Authorization, 2. Project Manager, 3. Oversight - G. Project Organization, 1. Project Organization Chart, 2. Organization Description, 3. Roles and Responsibilities - H. Resources - I. Signatures
16. To what degree is adequate and sustained funding insured for the duration of the proposed investment?	G = funding is adequate and sustained for the duration of the proposed investment R = funding is not adequate or sustained for the duration of the proposed investment	Proposal – F. Financial Estimate, 2. Estimate of Execution Expenditures and Funding, 3. Estimate of Operations Expenditures and Funding Project Charter- H. Resources - I. Signatures
Financial and Economic		

Perspective	Score	Evaluation Source
17. To what degree will the proposed investment result in a positive return on investment?	G = return on investment based on tangible benefits is positive or the investment is mandated by federal	Proposal – F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis Summary
Note: The investment, itself, must be mandated by federal or state statute not the program or process. For example, the statute must state something to the effect "requires the implementation of an automated process or system."	or state statute Y = return on investment based on tangible benefits is negative and business case <i>does justify</i> a positive return when intangible benefits are included R = return on investment based on tangible benefits is negative and business case <i>does not justify</i> a positive return when intangible benefits are included	Project Charter – H. Resources
18. To what degree does the proposed investment target business areas that have been chronically underserved in the past by the lack of investments in information technology?	G = underserved business areas identified and justification provided Y = underserved business areas identified and no justification provided or business areas adequately served at present R = business areas are adequately served with existing assets at present and over the proposed investment's life	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope
19. To what degree does the proposed investment leverage funding from the federal government or from the private sector?	G = federal or private money is greater than or equal to 80% of the project cost Y = federal or private money is greater than or equal to 20% but less than 80%	Proposal – F. Financial Estimate, 2. Estimate of Execution Expenditures and Funding, 3. Estimate of Operations Expenditures and Funding Project Charter – H. Resources

Perspective	Score	Evaluation Source
20. To what degree does the proposed investment lead to increased economic development within the state?	G = increased economic development identified and justification provided Y = increased economic development identified and no justification provided or does not lead to increased economic development	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope
Enterprise (Commonwealth) Portfolio	development	
21. To what degree does the proposed investment move the Commonwealth toward an enterprise business model with business partners and stakeholders?	G = moves Commonwealth toward an enterprise business model Y = specific investment does not impact the Commonwealth enterprise business model R = impedes the Commonwealth's movement toward an enterprise business model	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact - D. Strategic Justification, 2. (description of EA Compliance) Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope - F. Project Authority, 3. Oversight - G. Project Organization, 1. Project Organization Chart, 2. Organization Description, 3. Roles and Responsibilities - I. Signatures (Other Stakeholders) Enterprise Architecture Review
22. To what degree does the proposed investment serve multiple agencies through functional and process integration and improved data sharing?	G = serves multiple agencies through functional and process integration and improved data sharing	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact

Perspective	Score	Evaluation Source
	Y = specific investment has no potential to serve multiple agencies R = potential to serve multiple agencies, but this service is not defined within the scope of the investment	Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope - F. Project Authority, 3. Oversight - G. Project Organization, 1. Project Organization Chart, 2. Organization Description, 3. Roles and Responsibilities - I. Signatures (Other Stakeholders)
23. To what degree does the proposed investment support the enterprise goals of consolidation of infrastructure, security, and administrative systems?	G = supports enterprise goals of consolidation Y = specific investment does not impact consolidation R = impedes the enterprise goals of consolidation	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope Enterprise Architecture Review
24. To what degree does the proposed investment help balance the amount of aggregated risk in the Commonwealth portfolio?	G = helps balance the aggregated risk in the portfolio Y = minimal impact on balance R = causes imbalance	Proposal – G. Project Risk (and detailed Risk Assessment if submitted) Commonwealth IT Asset and Project Portfolio
25. To what degree does the proposed investment support the Commonwealth Enterprise Architecture?	G = moves Commonwealth toward the "to be" Enterprise Architecture Y = compliant with Enterprise Architecture, but no movement towards the "to be" Enterprise Architecture or specific investment does not impact the Enterprise Architecture R = not compliant with Enterprise Architecture or impedes the Commonwealth's movement toward the "to be" Enterprise Architecture	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact - D. Strategic Justification, 2. (Description of EA Compliance) Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope Enterprise Architecture Review

Perspective	Score	Evaluation Source
26. To what degree does the proposed investment maintain the desired portfolio balance between long-and-short term information technology investments?	G = maintains the desired portfolio balance between long- and short-term investments Y = minimal impact on the desired balance R = disrupts the desired portfolio balance between long- and short-term investments	Proposal – B. Project Purpose, 1. Business Problem, 2. Project Business Objectives, 3. Core Business Activity Impact – F. Financial Estimate, 1. Cost Benefit Analysis Summary, 2. Estimate of Execution Expenditures and Funding, 3. Estimate of Operations Expenditures and Funding Project Charter – E. Project Description, Scope, and Management Milestones, 1. Project Description, 2. Scope Commonwealth IT Asset and Project Portfolio